

Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 04465 01 OF 03 221856Z

43

ACTION ACDA-10

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 ISO-00 ACDE-00 SSO-00 NSCE-00 USIE-00

INRE-00 ERDA-05 CIAE-00 H-02 INR-07 IO-10 L-03

NSAE-00 OIC-02 OMB-01 PA-01 PM-04 PRS-01 SAJ-01

SAM-01 SP-02 SS-15 TRSE-00 NSC-05 ERDE-00 NRC-05 /088 W
----- 035540

O R 221740Z AUG 75

FM USMISSION NATO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 3206

SECDEF WASHDC IMMEDIATE

INFO USDEL MBFR VIENNA

AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY LONDON

USNMR SHAPE

USCINCEUR

S E C R E T SECTION 1 OF 3 USNATO 4465

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PARM, NATO, MBFR

SUBJECT: MBFR: SERVICE SUB-CEILINGS WITHIN A COMBINED AIR/GROUND
COMMON CEILING

REF: A. USNATO 4359 DTG 151145Z AUG 75

B. STATE 198380 DTG 202326Z AUG 75

1. THERE FOLLOW REVISIONS TO THE WORKING GROUP STUDY ON SUB-
CEILINGS THAT EMERGED FROM THE WG CONSIDERATION OF REF A ON AUGUST
21. THIS IS NOW BEING CIRCULATED AS A FINAL DOCUMENT.

2. THE CANADIAN REP (BARTLEMAN) SAID HIS AUTHORITIES ARE
TROUBLED BY THE ADOPTION OF THE FIGURE OF 20,000 AS THE REQUIRED
FLEXIBILITY ALLOWANCE IN SETTING SUB-CEILINGS. THEY BELIEVE
THE DISCUSSION IN WG PAPER (AC/276-D(74)5) (PARA 44) IS MIS-
REPRESENTED IN REF A IN SUCH WAY AS TO GIVE THE GIURE OF
20,000 FAR MORE AUTHORATIVE STATUS THAN IT DESREVES. THE STATE-
SECRET

PAGE 02 NATO 04465 01 OF 03 221856Z

MENT "IT COULD BE ARGUED...BEST MILITARY ANSWER" IS POORLY
PLACEDIN THE PAPER AND TAKEN AT ITS FACE VALUE IT MEANS THERE
IS LITTLE PURPOSE IN SUB-CEILINGS. THE FOLLOWING SENTENCE REFER-
RING TO "MILITARY JUDGEMENT SUGGESTS" ALSO GIVES FURTHER STATUS

TO THE FIGURE OF 20,000 WITHOUT INDICATING "WHOSE" MILITARY JUDGEMENT. HIS AUTHORITIES COULD ACCEPT THE SENTENCE IF IT READ "JUDGEMENT OF WORKING GROUP, SUGGESTS, THEREFORE, THAT IT WOULD BE DESIRABLE FOR NATO TO RETAIN FLEXIBILITY TO TRANSFER (FULL STOP)," THUS DELETING THE FIGURE WHICH CAUSES THEM DIFFICULTY.

3. THE UK REP (GERAHTY) REPLIED THAT HE HAD OFFERED THE FIGURE OF 20,000 ON THE BASIS THAT THE UK MILITARY AUTHORITIES JUDGED THAT THE UK MIGHT NEED AN ALLOWANCE OF 2,000 AS ITS PRO-RATA SHARE OF THE LARGER FLEXIBILITY ALLOWANCE; EXTRAPOLATING FROM THIS, THE UK ARRIVED AT 20,000 AS THE FIGURE THAT MIGHT BE NEEDED BY NATO AS A WHOLE. HE SAID HIS AUTHORITIES WOULD BE PLEASED IF THE OTHER ALLIES WOULD ALSO "DO THEIR HOMEWORK" AND DEVELOP THEIR OWN FLEXIBILITY ALLOWANCE REQUIREMENTS. NATO WOULD THEN DEVELOP A FIGURE THAT COULD BE DEFENDED MORE EASILY AND MIGHT WELL BE SMALLER. IN THE ABSENCE OF SUCH NATIONAL INPUTS, HOWEVER, THE UK AUTHORITIES STILL BELIEVE 20,000 TO BE A REASONABLE FIGURE.

4. THE CANADIAN REP HAD TROUBLE INTERPRETING PARA 16 OF REF A. SINCE THE CONCLUSIONS IN PARAS 17 AND 18 REST ON PARA 16, HE REQUESTED THAT IT BE RE-DRAFTED TO BE MORE CLEAR.

5. THE UK REP FOUND THE "FINDINGS" IN PARA 31 OF REF A TO BE INADEQUATE AND OFFERED THE RE-DRAFT BELOW FOR CONSIDERATION.

6. THE ACTING WG CHAIRMAN (BRANSON) SAID HE WILL CIRCULATE THIS FINAL VERSION OF THE STUDY UNDER THE SILENCE PROCEDURE FOR CLEARANCE BY COB ON SEPTEMBER 4. IF THERE ARE NO COMMENTS PRIOR TO THAT DATE, THE STUDY WILL GO TO THE SPC, PROBABLY ON SEPTEMBER 8. IF CLEARANCE IS NOT OBTAINED BY SEPTEMBER 4, THE STUDY WILL BE PLACED ON THE AGENDA FOR THE WG SESSION OF SEPTEMBER 9. (THE CANADIAN REP WAS STILL PESSIMISTIC THAT HIS AUTHORITIES WOULD ACCEPT THE "20,000 MAN FLEXIBILITY ALLOWANCE" WITHOUT FURTHER SUBSTANTIATION.)

SECRET

PAGE 03 NATO 04465 01 OF 03 221856Z

7. ACTION REQUEST: REQUEST ANY COMMENTS OR GUIDANCE PRIOR TO SEPTEMBER 4.

8. BEGIN TEXT OF REVISIONS:

A. PARAS 1 THROUGH 6: NO CHANGE.

B. PARA 7:

1. SECOND SENTENCE: DELETE WORD "COLLECTIVE" BETWEEN "NUMERICAL" AND "CEILINGS."

2. THIRD SENTENCE: ADD WORD "PARTIALLY" BEFORE PHRASE "REPLACE THE GROUND MANPOWER REDUCED."

3. FOOTNOTE: DELETE FOOTNOTE AND MAKE FIRST SENTENCE OF FOOTNOTE LAST SENTENCE OF PARA 7 TO READ: "HOWEVER, THIS TOTAL FREEDOM IN PRACTICE WOULD BE CONSTRAINED FOR BOTH SIDES, BY THE PRACTICAL NECESSITY TO MAINTAIN THEIR AIR FORCES AT A LEVEL SUFFICIENT TO MEET COMMITMENTS."

SECRET

PAGE 01 NATO 04465 02 OF 03 221914Z

43

ACTION ACDA-10

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 ISO-00 ACDE-00 SSO-00 NSCE-00 USIE-00

INRE-00 ERDA-05 CIAE-00 H-02 INR-07 IO-10 L-03

NSAE-00 OIC-02 OMB-01 PA-01 PM-04 PRS-01 SAJ-01

SAM-01 SP-02 SS-15 TRSE-00 NSC-05 ERDE-00 NRC-05 /088 W
----- 035825

O R 221740Z AUG 75

FM USMISSION NATO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 3207

SECDEF WASHDC IMMEDIATE

INFO USDEL MBFR VIENNA

AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY LONDON

USNMR SHAPE

USCINCEUR

S E C R E T SECTION 2 OF 3 USNATO 4465

C. PARAS 8 THROUGH 11: NO CHANGE.

D. PARA 12: SECOND SENTENCE: DELETE PHRASE "...OF CONSIDERED MILITARY JUDGEMENT..." AND CHANGE "PROBABLY" TO "POSSIBLY."

E. PARA 13: ADD NEW LAST SENTENCE TO READ: "BUT FOR THE REASONS ALREADY DISCUSSED ABOVE (THIRD SENTENCE) THIS IS AN UNLIKELY CONSEQUENCE."

F. PARA 14: NEW PARA TO READ:

"14. ON BALANCE, HOWEVER, THE WORKING GROUP JUDGE THAT THERE COULD BE ADVANTAGES FOR NATO TO NEGOTIATE A FLEXIBILITY ALLOWANCE ON THE ORDER OF 20,000 MEN, EVEN IF, POST-MBFR, THIS WERE TO RESULT IN THE REINSTATEMENT IN THE NGA OF UP TO A DIVISION'S WORTH OF WP COMBAT UNITS AT THE EXPENSE OF WP AIR FORCES OR EQUIVALENT IMPROVEMENTS IN WP AIR CAPABILITY AT THE EXPENSE
SECRET

PAGE 02 NATO 04465 02 OF 03 221914Z

OF THEIR GROUND FORCES."

G. PARA 15:

1. CHANGE REFERENCE IN FIRST SENTENCE TO "SITCEN 2202"
(WG STUDY ON FORCE DEFINITIONS -- SEPTTEL).

2. TABLE: ADD "COMMON" BETWEEN "GROUND" AND "SUB-
CEILING" UNDER REMARKS COLUMN ON SERIALS 3 AND 5.

H. PARA 16: NEW CHAPEAU AND SUB-PARA A. TO READ:

"16. IT IS APPARENT FROM THE ABOVE, IN THE EVENT OF REDEFINI-
TION OF FORCES AS PROPOSED BY THE WP, THAT:

A. IF IT IS DECIDED THAT AIR MANPOWER, WITHOUT AIR REDUCTIONS,
IS TO BE INCLUDED IN AN OVERALL AIR/GROUND COMMON CEILING SET
AT ABOUT 900,000 (SERIAL 3 ABOVE) THERE CAN BE NO COMMON
NUMERIC AIR OR GROUND SUB-CEILINGS (I.E. NATO GROUND 717,000,
AIR 187,000 AND WP GROUND 672,000, AIR 232,000)."

I. PARAS 17 AND 18: NO CHANGE.

J. PARA 19: NEW CHAPEAU TO READ:

"19. A FACTOR WHICH IS RELEVANT TO THE SERVICE SUB-CEILING
ISSUE IS THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THE CAPABILITY OF NATO TO MONITOR
AN MBFR AGREEMENT IN TERMS OF MANPOWER EXPRESSED, ONCE
REDUCTIONS HAVE BEEN IMPLEMENTED. IF IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO
NEGOTIATE AN AGREEMENT WHICH WOULD ALLOW THE DEPLOYMENT OF
AN ALTERNATE VERIFICATION SYSTEM INCLUDING SUFFICIENT MOBILE
TEAMS OF BOTH SIDES IN THE NGA, NATO'S CAPABILITY TO MONITOR
RESIDUAL FORCE LEVELS WILL BE LARGELY DEPENDENT ON NATIONAL
MEANS. IT IS SLAUTARY, THEREFORE, TO RECALL THE CONTENTS OF
PAGES 8 TO 10 OF ANNEX E TO "THE US APPROACH TO MBFR" DATED 30
APRIL 1973, WHICH SETS OUT
THE CURRENT US MONITORING CAPABILITY. IN SUMMARY THE US PAPER
SAYS:"

K. PARA 20: NEW PARA TO READ:

SECRET

PAGE 03 NATO 04465 02 OF 03 221914Z

"20. IT FOLLOWS THAT COVERT WP MAJOR (E.G. 10PCT) CIRCUMVENTION
OF AN AGREEMENT WHICH INVOLVED MANPOWER REDUCTIONS COULD GO
UNDETECTED BY NATO FOR A PERIOD OF A YEAR OR MORE; SMALLER
AMOUNTS OF THE ORDER OF THE 20,000 MEN MENTIONED IN CONNECTION
WITH THE FLEXIBILITY ISSUE MAY NOT BE DETENCED FAILING AGREEMENT
ON A SYSTEM OF OVERT VERIFICATION, WITHIN THAT TIME FRAME,

IF AT ALL."

L. PARA 21:

1. THIRD SENTENCE: ADD "PARTIALLY" BEFORE "TO REINSTATE ITS GROUND FORCE LEVELS."

2. FOURTH SENTENCE: AFTER "FIXED SUB-CEILINGS", ADD "ON BOTH GROUND AND AIR MANPOWER LEVELS."

3. BETWEEN FOURTH AND FIFTH SENTENCES, ADD NEW SENTENCE TO READ:

"A FIXED SUB-CEILING ON GROUND FORCE MANPOWER ALONE WOULD NOT NECESSARILY CONSTRAIN, POST-MBFR THE REINSTATEMENT OF WITHDRAWN OR REDUCED COMBAT UNITS SINCE IT WOULD BE POSSIBLE TO TRANSFER A CURRENT GROUND FORCE FUNCTION (E.G. ARMY AVIATION) TO THE AIR FORCE AT THE EXPENSE OF AN EXISTING AIR FORCE FUNCTION AND TO USE THE GROUND FORCE MANPOWER SAVED THEREBY TO INTRODUCE, SAY AN EXTRA DIVISION: THE ONLY WAY TO CLOSE SUCH A LOOP-HOLE WOULD BE THE NEGOTIATION OF A COMPREHENSIVE NON-CIRCUMVENTION ARRANGEMENT."

4. LAST SENTENCE: CHANGE "COULD" TO "SHOULD".

5. SUB-PARA A: CHANGE TO READ: "NUMERIC SUB-CEILINGS."

M. PARA 22: CHANGE SECOND SENTENCE TO READ: "A DE FACTO IF NOT DE JURE CEILING ON GROUND FORCE MANPOWER WOULD RESULT FROM IMPLEMENTATION OF THE AGREED GROUND FORCE REDUCTIONS."

N. PARA 23: CHANGE "NON-EXPLICIT SUB-CEILINGS" TO "NUMERIC SUB-CEILINGS" IN BOTH SENTENCES AND ADD WORD "PERMITTED" TO END OF SENTENCE.

SECRET

PAGE 04 NATO 04465 02 OF 03 221914Z

O. PARA 24: CHANGE TO READ:

"24. THE NUMERIC SUB-CEILING APPROACH COUPLED WITH A NON-CIRCUMVENTION CLAUSE AS ENVISAGED ABOVE MIGHT PROVIDE THE EAST WITH OPPORTUNITY TO OBJECT TO TRIVIAL ALLIED FORCE CHANGES, BUT THIS POSSIBILITY WOULD EXIST WHATEVER TYPE OF SUB-CEILING ARRANGEMENTS WERE NEGOTIATED."

SECRET

PAGE 01 NATO 04465 03 OF 03 221925Z

43

ACTION ACDA-10

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 ISO-00 ACDE-00 SSO-00 NSCE-00 USIE-00

INRE-00 ERDA-05 CIAE-00 H-02 INR-07 IO-10 L-03

NSAE-00 OIC-02 OMB-01 PA-01 PM-04 PRS-01 SAJ-01

SAM-01 SP-02 SS-15 TRSE-00 NSC-05 ERDE-00 NRC-05 /088 W

----- 035990

O R 221740Z AUG 75

FM USMISSION NATO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 3208

SECDEF WASHDC IMMEDIATE

INFO USDEL MBFR VIENNA

AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY LONDON

USNMR SHAPE

USCINCEUR

S E C R E T SECTION 3 OF 3 USNATO 4465

P. BEFORE PARA 25: ADD NEW SUB-HEADING, "OTHER APPROACHES".

Q. PARAS 25 THROUGH 28: NO CHANGE.

R. PARA 29: SECOND SENTENCE: ADD "NOT BE PERMITTED TO"
BEFORE "EXCEED."

S. PARA 30: CHANGE TO READ:

"30. THE EFFECTS AND IMPLICATIONS OF THIS ARRANGEMENT IN
MILITARY/TECHNICAL TERMS WOULD BE SIMILAR IN MANY WAYS TO THOSE
DISCUSSED FOR THE EXPLICIT NUMERIC SUB-CEILINGS WITH A
FLEXIBILITY ALLOWANCE, AT PARAGRAPHS 26 AND 29 ABOVE, BUT
THE ARRANGEMENTS WOULD BE EVEN MORE DIFFICULT TO MONITOR
EFFECTIVELY."

T. PARA 31: CHANGE TO READ:

SECRET

PAGE 02 NATO 04465 03 OF 03 221925Z

31. THE WORKING GROUP CONSIDER THAT:

A. ONE OF NATO'S AIMS IS THAT THE IMPLEMENTATION OF
PHASE II REDUCTIONS SHOULD ENSURE THAT THE CURRENT DISPARITY
IN TERMS OF GROUND FORCE COMBAT FORMATIONS IN FAVOUR OF THE WP
SHOULD HAVE BEEN DECREASED SIGNIFICANTLY: THIS SHOULD BE
BORNE IN MIND WHEN POSSIBLE MODIFICATIONS TO THE ALLIANCE
PROPOSALS ARE DISCUSSED WITHIN NATO.

B. THERE COULD BE ADVANTAGES FOR NATO TO NEGOTIATE A
FLEXIBILITY ALLOWANCE OF THE ORDER OF SAY 20,000 MEN EVEN IF

THIS WERE TO RESULT, POST-MBFR, IN THE REINSTATEMENT OF UP TO A DIVISION'S WORTH OF WP COMBAT UNITS AT THE EXPENSE OF WP AIR FORCES, OR EQUIVALENT IMPROVEMENTS IN WP AIR CAPABILITY AT THE EXPENSE OF THEIR GROUND FORCES.

C. IF THE ALLIANCE WERE TO ACCEPT A REDEFINITION OF FORCES IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE WP PROPOSALS, IT WOULD NOT BE PRACTICABLE TECHNICALLY TO INCLUDE AIR MANPOWER WITHIN AN OVERALL GROUND/AIR COMMON CEILING WITHOUT ADDRESSING AIR FORCE MANPOWER FOR REDUCTIONS, WHILE AT THE SAME TIME DEMANDING EXPLICIT NUMERIC COMMON SUB-CEILINGS ON GROUND FORCE MANPOWER AT 7-7,000, UNLESS THE OVERALL AIR/GROUND COMMON CEILING WAS SET AS HIGH AS 950,000 (USING CURRENT NATO DATA).

D. IF NATO WERE TO HAVE TO RELY ON NATIONAL MEANS ALONE TO MONITOR RESIDUAL FORCE LEVELS, COVERT WP MAJOR (E.G. 10PCT) CIRCUMVENTION OF AN AGREEMENT WHICH INVOLVED MANPOWER REDUCTIONS COULD GO UNDETECTED BY NATO FOR A PERIOD OF A YEAR OR MORE. SMALLER AMOUNTS OF THE ORDER OF 20,000 MEN MENTIONED IN CONNECTION WITH THE FLEXIBILITY ALLOWANCE (SEE B. ABOVE) MIGHT NOT BE DETECTED WITHIN THAT TIME FRAME, IF AT ALL. IN THESE CIRCUMSTANCES EFFECTIVE NATO DETECTION OF ANY TYPE OF CEILING (OR SUB-CEILING) INVOLVING MANPOWER ALONE OR OF ANY FLEXIBILITY ARRANGEMENT MIGHT NOT BE PRACTICABLE WITHIN A PERIOD OF A YEAR, OR EVEN LONGER.

E. AN AGREEMENT WHICH DID NOT IMPOSE FIXED SUB-CEILINGS ON THE WP, POST-MBFR, WITHIN AN OVERALL AIR/GROUND COMMON MANPOWER CEILING, COULD ENABLE THE WP PARTIALLY TO REINSTATE THEIR GROUND FORCE LEVELS AT THE EXPENSE OF AIR MANPOWER (OR
SECRET

PAGE 03 NATO 04465 03 OF 03 221925Z

VICE VERSA). FIXED SUB-CEILINGS ON BOTH GROUND AND AIR FORCE MANPOWER LEVELS COULD CONSTRAIN SUCH ACTION AT LEAST WITHIN THE ALLIANCE'S VERIFICATION CAPABILITY, BUT WOULD HAVE TO BE RECIPROCAL. A FIXED CEILING ON GROUND FORCE MANPOWER ALONE, OR A NUMERIC SUB-CEILING ON GROUND FORCE MANPOWER WITHIN AN OVERALL AIR/GROUND MANPOWER, WOULD NOT NECESSARILY CONSTRAIN THE WP FROM PARTIALLY REINSTATING COMBAT UNIT REDUCTIONS THROUGH TRANSFERRING OTHER GROUND FORCE FUNCTIONS (E.G. ARMY AVIATION) TO THE AIR FORCES, UNLESS IT WAS ACCOMPANIED BY A COMPREHENSIVE NON-CIRCUMVENTION ARRANGEMENT.

F. IT SHOULD BE POSSIBLE TO DRAW UP AN ARRANGEMENT WHEREBY THERE WOULD ONLY BE A DE FACTO COMMON SUB-CEILING ON GROUND FORCES WITHIN AN EXPLICIT, NUMERIC OVERALL GROUND/AIR COMMON CEILING. THIS COULD BE MONITORED, USING NATIONAL MEANS ALONE, NO MORE OR LESS EFFECTIVELY THAN IN ARRANGEMENT UNDER WHICH THERE WAS AN EXPLICIT NUMERIC COMMON GROUND FORCE CEILING. IT SHOULD BE POSSIBLE TO BUILD-IN AN ARRANGEMENT TO PROVIDE SOME DEGREE OF FLEXIBILITY FOR EITHER SIDE TO TRANSFER MANPOWER FROM GROUND TO AIR OR VICE VERSA WITHOUT INCREASING SIGNIFICANTLY THE VERIF-

ICATION PROBLEMS FOR NATO.

G. FIXED SUB-CEILINGS ON GROUND FORCE OR AIR FORCE MANPOWER OR BOTH WOULD BE SIMPLER TO MONITOR THAN WOULD AN ARRANGEMENT WHICH ALLOWED OVERLAPPING SUBCEILINGS. IT WOULD BE TECHNICALLY POSSIBLE TO BUILD-IN A FLEXIBILITY ALLOWANCE TO ANY TYPE OF CEILING (OR SUB-CEILING) ARRANGEMENT WHICH WAS NEGOTIATED. EFFECTIVE NATO MONITORING OF FIXED MANPOWER SUB-CEILINGS, POST-MBFR, COULD BE AS DIFFICULT TO MONITOR EFFECTIVELY, USING NATIONAL MEANS ALONE, WITHIN A PERIOD OF A YEAR OR SO, AS WOULD THE ARRANGEMENTS OUTLINED IN SUB-PARAGRAPH F. ABOVE.
END TEXT OF REVISIONS.
BRUCE.

SECRET

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 18 AUG 1999
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: n/a
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 22 AUG 1975
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: izenbei0
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975NATO004465
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: 11652 GDS
Errors: n/a
Film Number: n/a
From: NATO
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19750897/abbrzlot.tel
Line Count: 394
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE
Office: n/a
Original Classification: SECRET
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 8
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: SECRET
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: A. USNATO 4359 DTG 151145Z AUG 75 B. STATE 198380 DTG 202326Z AUG 75
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: izenbei0
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 08 APR 2003
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <08 APR 2003 by BoyleJA>; APPROVED <24 SEP 2003 by izenbei0>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
06 JUL 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: MBFR: SERVICE SUB-CEILINGS WITHIN A COMBINED AIR/GROUND COMMON CEILING
TAGS: PARM, NATO, MBFR
To: STATE
SECDEF INFO MBFR VIENNA
BONN
LONDON
USNMR SHAPE
USCINCEUR

Type: TE

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006